

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,532	02/08/2001	Fernando De Oliveira	1000-0213 2691 EXAMINER	
27902 75	590 07/01/2004			
ERICSSON RESEARCH CANADA			CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY	
8400 DECARIE MONTREAL,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	20 1111 2112		2686	jı
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 11 41	an Na	Alicental			
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/781,5	32	DE OLIVERIA			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Joy K Co		2686			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. i0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap.	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>16 June</u> 2004.					
2a)□							
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 2,4-7,9-12 and 14-17 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2,4-7 and 9-12 is/are reject Claim(s) 6-7,14-17 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co ted. o.	onsideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers			•			
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	-			•		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 16, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 2,4,5,9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lamb et al., U.S. Patent 6,026,298 ("Lamb").

As pertaining to **claim 2**, *Lamb* teaches a method in a cellular telecommunications network of constructing a list of cells comprising at least one cell in which network resources are to be allocated to perform a requested service (see

Art Unit: 2686

Lamb, col. 4, lines 13-46, Lamb describes that in his method a list of all the capabilities of the various MSCs is stored), said method comprising the steps of:

determining by a MSC (i.e., reads on capability of Service Control Point (SCP 122)) which includes HLR and MSC, see Fig. 1) each particular cell's capability to provide the requested service (see *Lamb*, col. 2, lines 20-46 and 6 lines 13-46); and

building a cell list comprising only cells that can provide the requested service. See *Lamb*, col. 7 lines 30-48;

wherein the cell list includes the at least a cell list comprising only cells that can provide the requested service. See *Lamb, col.* 7, lines 30-48.

As pertaining to **claim 4**, in *Lamb's* method the network resources are paging resources for paging a mobile station, and the step of determining each particular cell's capability to provide the requested service includes determining the capability of each particular cell in a location area (LA) to provide the requested service. See *Lamb*, col. 7, line 49-col. 8, line 5.

As pertaining to **claim** *5*, *Lamb's* method further comprises paging for the mobile station only in the cells of the LA that can provide the requested service. See *Lamb*, col. 3, lines 46-49.

As pertaining to **claim** *9, Lamb* teaches a MSC (i.e., reads on SCP 122) for constructing a list of cells comprising at least one cell in which network resources are allocated to perform a requested service and a system for allocating network resources in a cellular telecommunications network to perform a requested service (see *Lamb*, abstract, and col. 7, lines 30-48), said MSC comprising:

- Art Unit: 2686

a capabilities database that stores information identifying each particular cell's capability to provide each of a plurality of services (see *Lamb*, col. 4, lines 13-46); and

a processor that compares the requested service to the information stored in the capabilities database for each cell in order to determine each cell's capability to provide the requested service (see *Lamb*, col. 6 lines 13-46); and

a resource controller that builds a cell list comprising at least one cell in which network resources are to be allocated to perform a requested service and allocates network resources only in the cells that can provide the requested service; and

wherein the cell list includes the at least a cell list comprising only cells that can provide the requested service. See *Lamb, col.* 7, lines 30-48.

As pertaining to **claim 10**, in *Lamb's MSC or SCP* the network resources are paging resources for paging a mobile station, and the step of determining each particular cell's capability to provide the requested service includes determining the capability of each particular cell in a location area (LA) to provide the requested service. See *Lamb*, col. 7, line 49-col. 8, line 5.

As pertaining to **claim 11**, in *Lamb's* MSC or SCP the processor compares the requested service to the information stored in the capabilities database for each cell in the LA in order to determine the capability of each cell in the LA to provide the requested service. See *Lamb*, *col*. 7, line 49-col. 8, line 5.

- Art Unit: 2686

As pertaining to **claim** *12*, *Lamb's MSC or SCP* further comprises a cell list database that stores cell lists comprised only of cells that can provide the requested service. See *Lamb*, col. 7, lines 30-48.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-7 and 14-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy Contee whose telephone number is 703-308-0149. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703-305-4700.

Joy Contee

January 22, 2004

CHARLES APPIAH